



A Rights-Based Framework for the SDGs and Beyond: A Framework Convention on Global Health

June 2016

A growing movement is galvanizing around a proposed Framework Convention on Global Health (FCGH) – a global treaty based in human rights and aimed at national and global health equality. UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon issued the following call to action in his report in advance of the June 2016 High-Level Meeting on Ending AIDS: “I further encourage the international community to consider and recognize the value of a comprehensive framework convention on global health.”¹ It is now time for the international community, from individual states to the Director-General of the World Health Organization – the organization mandated to lead the world on global health, and with the right to health as a core constitutional principle – to answer this call.

The FCGH Vision

All people, wherever they live, ought to be able to easily access comprehensive quality universal health coverage in a health system that does not discriminate, and that equally serves poor and rich. All should be able to readily access other universal needs for good health, such as clean water and nutritious food. The right to health, and the equality, accountability, and participation that are central to it, should be infused throughout the health system and integrated in other sectors and legal regimes, both domestically and internationally.

Filling in gaps in accountability, governance, financing, and human rights, the FCGH would help achieve the health goals and targets of the **Sustainable Development Goals**, while establishing a rights-based framework for health in the post-SDG era.

Four Core Global Health Failings: The FCGH Responds

The FCGH would respond to four persisting global health shortcomings:

- **Weak accountability:** States often fail to meet their health commitments, from levels of funding and effective program delivery to the full range of right to health obligation including participation and equality. While states are formally accountable to their people, people often have limited meaningful opportunities to hold their governments to account. At the international level, oversight of state actions that affect health in other countries, and independent oversight of WHO and other UN agencies, is limited. Meanwhile, other entities affecting people’s health, including corporations, foundations, and NGOs, are formally accountable only to themselves.

¹ UN Secretary-General, *On the Fast-Track to Ending the AIDS Epidemic: Report of the Secretary-General*, UN Doc. A/70/811, April 1, 2016, at para. 74.

http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A%2F70%2F811&Lang=E.

- **The FCGH response:** The FCGH would establish a **global health accountability framework**. As part of this framework, states would develop **national health accountability strategies** to integrate accountability mechanisms and participatory processes in all health service delivery and governance, from community to national levels, encompassing courts, parliaments, and the executive, with transparency, disaggregated data, and social empowerment. The participation this catalyzes may contribute to **global health security** by building community trust in the health system. International mechanisms, such as peer review and links to current human rights mechanisms, could further enhance state accountability. An innovative structure of the framework could encompass corporations, foundations, and NGOs, which could agree to transparency and accountability standards, with their commitments monitored and incentives for their participation in this accountability regime.
- **Inadequate funding:** Vast global health inequalities – with a 17-year gap in life expectancy between high- and low-income countries² – persist. Without greater resources, including through international solidarity, health systems in many countries will remain weak, and health services insufficient to meet people’s needs. Further, global health public goods, such as research and development and global health security, and the WHO itself, remain underfunded.
 - **The FCGH response:** The FCGH would establish a **national and global health financing framework** to enable sufficient funding for **comprehensive universal health coverage**, encompassing health care and the underlying determinants of health (such as clean water, adequate sanitation, and nutritious food), in all countries. The strengthened health systems to which this contributes will also enhance **global health security**. The financing framework could also encompass global health public goods and right to health capacity building.
- **Marginalization and discrimination:** In every country, health inequities leave segments of the population far behind – those who are poor, people living with mental and physical disabilities, indigenous populations, remote populations, and many others. From legally sanctioned discrimination, whether excluding migrants from health insurance schemes or restricting women’s access to needed health services, to fees, transportation costs, mistreatment, language barriers, and other obstacles to quality health services, the core human rights command of non-discrimination is frequently violated.
 - **The FCGH response:** The FCGH would reinforce and clarify the non-discrimination requirement of the right to health, including for voluntary and forced **migrants**. **National health equity strategies** could ensure the equitable distribution of resources and targeted and synergistic plans of action for health equality across the spectrum of marginalized populations, back by funding, disaggregated data, and participatory processes, and ensuring a gendered approach to health and pro-poor pathways to **universal health coverage**. Further, an equitable framework or mechanism for cooperation and shared responsibility for **migrants’ health** could better ensure health services for this vulnerable population.

² World Health Organization. *World Health Statistics 2015* (2015), at 52. http://who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/EN_WHS2015_Part2.pdf?ua=1 (accessed August 21, 2015).

- **National and global governance against health:** From **intellectual property rules** that impede **access to medicines** and **trade and investment treaties** that may limit state power to regulate unhealthy food and beverages, to **active recruitment of health workers** from countries with severe health workforce shortages, international law and action in non-health regimes can undermine the right to health. Domestically, policies and actions outside the health sector – for example, weak environmental standards, economic and industrial policies that lead to excessive pollution, and responses to substance abuse that focus on punishment rather than treatment – may conflict with the right to health.
 - **The FCGH response:** The FCGH would clarify states’ obligation to respect the right to health in all policies, extraterritorially and domestically, contributing to true **global governance for health** and **Health in All Policies**. The obligation could be backed by transparency, participation and coordination, and institutionalized **right to health impact assessments**. Akin to environmental impact statements, these would anticipate the effects of policies, programs, and projects across sectors and legal regimes that may significantly affect the right to health, so that they can be adjusted to prevent harms and maximize synergies with the right to health.

Support for the FCGH

Support for the FCGH is growing. Institutional and partnership supporters include UNAIDS and Partners in Population and Development, along with leading health and human rights organizations such as in Bangladesh (BRAC, Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust), India (Public Health Foundation of India, the Lawyers Collective), Kenya (Kenya Legal and Ethical Issues Network on HIV and AIDS), South Africa (SECTION27), Uganda (Center for Health, Human Rights and Development), the United States (Partners In Health), and Zimbabwe (Community Working Group on Health), and networks including the African Civil Society Network on Universal Health Coverage and the Ghana Coalition of NGOs in Health. During the 2016 World Health Assembly, the Global Health Council and World Vision called for WHO action on the FCGH.

Models

Recent treaty precedents present several models for the FCGH:

- ***The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control*** (FCTC) is a treaty adopted by the World Health Assembly, using a framework-protocol approach. The FCTC establishes broad principles and specific standards, along the protocols to cover emerging issues of importance.
- ***The Paris Agreement***, adopted under the auspices of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, includes a mix of binding and non-binding elements. A central innovation is that each country determines its own contributions to greenhouse gas emission reductions, targets which are to be progressively enhanced. States are accountable for their progress and agree to a transparency framework that includes independent technical review.
- ***Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework for the Sharing of Influenza Viruses and Access to Vaccines and Other Benefits*** (PIP Framework), a WHO framework, includes the creative use of contract law to bring companies within its scope. Under the PIP Framework, WHO-designated laboratories agree to share influenza virus samples only with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies that enter into a contract with WHO to take measures to increase availability of vaccines, treatments, and diagnostics in developing countries.

- ***The Convention on the Rights of People with Disabilities*** (CRPD), a UN treaty, stands out as the first human rights treaty where NGOs were directly involved in formulating the treaty, with delegates from NGOs, along with governments, national human rights institutions, and international organizations, agreeing to the final text.³

The FCGH could combine elements of each model. Like the FCTC, it might be adopted through WHO under the framework-protocol approach, reinforcing WHO's global health leadership and mandate to engage other sectors, from human rights to trade, in support of the right to health. It could borrow from the Paris Agreement the idea of nationally determined and progressively strengthening targets, with national strategies and targets – such as on universal health coverage – developed through inclusive, participatory national processes, along with multifaceted measures for accountability and compliance. Also like the Paris Agreement, the FCGH could include a carefully calibrated mix of binding and non-binding elements. And although only states would be parties to the FCGH, the treaty may include ways, like the PIP Framework, to directly apply its mandates to corporations, and possibly other non-state actors as well. Critically, like the CRPD, civil society organizations, including grassroots organizations and marginalized communities, should be directly involved in developing the FCGH.

Through a combination of these approaches and possibly other innovations, and developed through an inclusive, participatory process, the FCGH could be an **innovative 21st century instrument for 21st century governance**.

A call to action

As the global health leader, WHO should steer the global response in answering the UN Secretary-General's call to action, establishing a working group that includes strong civil society participation to examine and report to the World Health Assembly on the potential benefits, principles, parameters of, and path towards the FCGH. This would provide a platform for further progress towards the FCGH. WHO regional committees and other intergovernmental forum should also begin discussions of the FCGH, as the treaty will need to speak to countries and people in every region.

In addition, we will deepen our own efforts to engage civil society and community-based organizations, and populations who suffer most from health inequities, as the FCGH must, above all, be a treaty that speaks to their needs, meets their expectations, and secures their right to health.

Engage

Please visit our website (<http://www.globalhealthtreaty.org>) and connect to our social media at:

Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/fcghnow/>

Twitter: <https://twitter.com/fcghnow> (@fcghnow); https://twitter.com/FCGH_Forum (@FCGH_Forum) [#FCGH]

LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/framework-convention-on-global-health-31966110b>

You can reach the FCGH Secretariat at info@globalhealthtreaty.org. Also, please let us know if you would like to join the FCGH listserv.

³ United Nations, *A Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities* (question and answer factsheet) (2006), <http://www.un.org/disabilities/convention/pdfs/qna.pdf>.